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Today’s Lecture

Onsager Theory and the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem

• Motivation from lecture 1
• Derivation and discussion
• Application to nanomechanics and biodetectors
Motivation

- So far we have only talked about thermodynamic and equilibrium consequences of conservation laws and broken symmetries.
- In macroscopic systems dissipation is important
- Dissipation is associated with the increase of entropy, and is outside of the scope of thermodynamics where entropy (or the appropriate thermodynamic potential) is maximized or minimized.
- Onsager, and later Callan, Greene, Kubo and others showed how to systematically treat systems near equilibrium
Equilibrium under Energy Transfer

Isolated system divided into two weakly coupled halves or subsystems.
Initially the whole system is in thermodynamic equilibrium.
Take the system away from equilibrium by transferring an energy $\Delta E$ from one half to the other.
For weak coupling the time scale for the relaxation will be correspondingly long.
Dissipative Currents

• Equilibrium is given by the equality of the temperatures $T_1 = T_2$.

• Different temperatures gives a nonequilibrium state, and an energy current moving the system towards equilibrium.

• For small temperature differences $\delta T = T_2 - T_1$

$$J_E = -K \delta T$$

$K$ is a kinetic coefficient or dissipation coefficient
Slow Relaxation

- The temperatures of the subsystems will change at a rate proportional to the rate of change of energy

\[
\dot{T}_1 = \frac{\dot{E}_1}{C_1} = -\frac{J_E}{C_1}
\]
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\]

where \( C_i \) is the thermal capacity of subsystem \( i \).
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Slow Relaxation

- The temperatures of the subsystems will change at a rate proportional to the rate of change of energy

\[
\dot{T}_1 = \frac{\dot{E}_1}{C_1} = -\frac{J_E}{C_1} \\
\dot{T}_2 = \frac{\dot{E}_2}{C_2} = \frac{J_E}{C_2}
\]

where \( C_i \) is the thermal capacity of subsystem \( i \).

- Since the relaxation between the systems is slow, each system may be taken as internally in equilibrium, so that \( C_i \) is the equilibrium value of the specific heat.

- Using \( J_E = -K \delta T \) gives

\[
\delta \dot{T} = -(K/C) \delta T
\]

with

\[
C^{-1} = C_1^{-1} + C_2^{-1}
\]

- This equation yields exponential relaxation with a time constant

\[
\tau = \frac{C}{K}
\]

given by macroscopic quantities.
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- Rate of change of entropy:
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Entropy Production

- Since the energy current is the process of the approach to equilibrium, the entropy must increase in this relaxation.

- Rate of change of entropy:

\[
\dot{S} = \dot{S}_1(E_1) + \dot{S}_2(E_2) = \frac{1}{T_1} \dot{E}_1 + \frac{1}{T_2} \dot{E}_2 \\
\approx -J_E \frac{\delta T}{T^2} = K \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)^2
\]

- Second law requires the kinetic coefficient \( K > 0 \)
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Continuum System: Nonuniform Temperature

- Macroscopic system is in equilibrium when the temperature is uniform in space.
- Spatially varying temperature will lead to energy flows.
- For small, slow spatial variations the energy current will be proportional to the gradient of the temperature $j_E = -K \nabla T$ with $K$ the thermal conductivity.
- Conservation of energy is given by
  $$\partial_t \varepsilon = -\nabla \cdot j_E$$
- Law of increase of entropy constrains the coefficient $K$ to be positive.
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Relaxation Mode

• Take $\delta \varepsilon = C \delta T$ with $C$ the specific heat per unit volume.

• For small temperature perturbations $C$ and $K$ may be taken as constants.

• The two equations can be combined into the single diffusion equation

$$\partial_t T = \kappa \nabla^2 T$$

with diffusion constant $\kappa = K / C$.

• The results may be extended to the general case of coupled equations for more than one conserved quantity. Sometimes the coupling gives a wave equation rather than diffusion.

• Dynamical equations are linear, and the time evolution will be the sum of exponentially oscillating/decaying modes.
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Summary

• A state near equilibrium decays exponentially towards equilibrium, perhaps with transient oscillations.

• The dynamics is given by equations of motion that are on the one hand the usual phenomenological equations (in our simple example the heat current proportional to temperature difference) and on the other hand consistent with the fundamental laws of thermodynamics.

• Law of the increase of entropy places constraints on the coefficients of the dynamical equations.

• We are left with the task of calculating kinetic coefficients such as $K$. 
Onsager’s Idea (Regression)

- Decay to equilibrium from a prepared initial condition is related to dynamics of fluctuations in the equilibrium state

  \[
  \text{equilibrium} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{near equilibrium}
  \]

  \[
  \text{fluctuations} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{dissipation}
  \]

  \[
  \text{correlation function} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{kinetic coefficient}
  \]
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- Decay to equilibrium from a prepared initial condition is related to dynamics of fluctuations in the equilibrium state

  equilibrium $\iff$ near equilibrium
  fluctuations $\iff$ dissipation
  correlation function $\iff$ kinetic coefficient

- Relationship may be useful in either direction

- Framework of derivation: linear response theory
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Linear Response Theory

- Calculate the change in a measurement \( \langle B(t) \rangle \) due to the application of a small “field” \( F(t) \) giving a perturbation to the Hamiltonian \( \Delta H = -F(t)A \).

- Both \( A \) and \( B \) are determined by the phase space coordinates \( r^N(t), p^N(t) \). For example, an electric field \( E = -(1/c)dA/dt \) gives the perturbation

\[
\Delta H = (e/mc)A(t) \cdot \sum_N p^N(t).
\]

- Time dependence is given by the evolution of \( r^N(t), p^N(t) \) according to Hamilton’s equations.

- We can calculate averages in terms of an ensemble of systems given by a known distribution \( \rho(r^N, p^N) \) at \( t = 0 \). The expectation value at a later time is then

\[
\langle B(t) \rangle = \int dr^N dp^N \rho(r^N, p^N) B[r^N(t) \leftarrow r^N, p^N(t) \leftarrow p^N]
\]

- Could alternatively follow the time evolution of \( \rho \) through Liouville’s equation

\[
\langle B(t) \rangle = \int dr^N dp^N \rho(r^N, p^N, t) B(r^N, p^N)
\]
Proof of Onsager Regression: Idea

We will consider the special case of a force $F(t)$ switched on to the value $F_0$ in the distant past, and then switched off at $t = 0$.

At $t = 0$ the distribution is the equilibrium one for the perturbed Hamiltonian.

We are interested in measurements in the system for $t > 0$ as it relaxes to equilibrium.

The dynamics occurs under the unperturbed Hamiltonian.
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Onsager Regression: Details

- For \( t \leq 0 \) the distribution is the equilibrium one for the Hamiltonian
  \[
  H(r^N, p^N) = H_0 + \Delta H
  \]
  \[
  \rho(r^N, p^N) = \frac{e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)}}{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)}} \quad \text{with } \text{Tr} \equiv \int d r^N d p^N
  \]

- Average of \( B \) at \( t = 0 \) is
  \[
  \langle B(0) \rangle = \frac{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)}B(r^N, p^N)}{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)}}
  \]

- For \( t \geq 0 \) the average is
  \[
  \langle B(t) \rangle = \frac{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)}B(r^N(t) \leftarrow r^N, p^N(t) \leftarrow p^N)}{\text{Tr}e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)}}
  \]

The integral is over \( r^N, p^N \), and \( \Delta H = \Delta H(r^N, p^N) \). The time evolution is given by \( H_0 \).
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• Expand the exponentials $e^{-\beta(H_0+\Delta H)} \simeq e^{-\beta H_0}(1 - \beta \Delta H)$ so

$$\langle B(t) \rangle = \langle B \rangle_0 - \beta[\langle \Delta H B(t) \rangle_0 - \langle B \rangle_0 \langle \Delta H \rangle_0] + O(\Delta H)^2$$

◊ Here $\langle \rangle_0$ denotes the ensemble average for a system with no perturbation, i.e., the distribution $\rho_0 = e^{-\beta H_0}/\text{Tr}e^{-\beta H_0}$.

◊ In the unperturbed system the Hamiltonian is $H_0$ for all time, and averages such as $\langle B(t) \rangle_0$ are time independent $\Rightarrow \langle B \rangle_0$. 
Onsager Regression: Details (cont.)

- Expand the exponentials $e^{-\beta (H_0 + \Delta H)} \simeq e^{-\beta H_0} (1 - \beta \Delta H)$ so

$$\langle B(t) \rangle = \langle B \rangle_0 - \beta [\langle \Delta H \ B(t) \rangle_0 - \langle B \rangle_0 \langle \Delta H \rangle_0] + O(\Delta H)^2$$

- Here $\langle \rangle_0$ denotes the ensemble average for a system with no perturbation, i.e., the distribution $\rho_0 = e^{-\beta H_0}/\text{Tr} e^{-\beta H_0}$.

- In the unperturbed system the Hamiltonian is $H_0$ for all time, and averages such as $\langle B(t) \rangle_0$ are time independent $\Rightarrow \langle B \rangle_0$.

- Writing $A(r^N, p^N) = A(0)$, $\delta A(t) = A(t) - \langle A \rangle_0$ and use $\Delta H = -F_0 A(0)$, gives for the change in the measured quantity

$$\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = \beta F_0 \langle \delta A(0) \delta B(t) \rangle_0$$

- This result proves the Onsager regression hypothesis.
Kubo Formula
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- For a general $F(t)$ we write the linear response as

$$ \Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{AB}(t, t') F(t') dt' $$

with $\chi_{AB}$ the susceptibility or response function with the properties

- $\chi_{AB}(t, t') = \chi_{AB}(t - t')$  stationarity of unperturbed system
- $\chi_{AB}(t - t') = 0$ for $t < t'$  causality
- $\tilde{\chi}_{AB}(-f) = \tilde{\chi}_{AB}^*(f)$  $\chi_{AB}(t, t')$ real
Kubo Formula

- For a general $F(t)$ we write the linear response as

$$\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{AB}(t, t') F(t') dt'$$

with $\chi_{AB}$ the susceptibility or response function with the properties

$$\chi_{AB}(t, t') = \chi_{AB}(t - t') \quad \text{stationarity of unperturbed system}$$

$$\chi_{AB}(t - t') = 0 \text{ for } t < t' \quad \text{causality}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{AB}(-f) = \tilde{\chi}_{AB}^{*}(f) \quad \chi_{AB}(t, t') \text{ real}$$

- For the step function force turned off at $t = 0$

$$\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = F_0 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \chi_{AB}(t - t') dt' = F_0 \int_{t}^{\infty} \chi_{AB}(\tau) d\tau$$
Kubo Formula

- For a general $F(t)$ we write the linear response as

$$\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{AB}(t, t') F(t') dt'$$

with $\chi_{AB}$ the susceptibility or response function with the properties

$$\chi_{AB}(t, t') = \chi_{AB}(t - t') \quad \text{stationarity of unperturbed system}$$

$$\chi_{AB}(t - t') = 0 \text{ for } t < t' \quad \text{causality}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{AB}(-f) = \tilde{\chi}_{AB}^*(f) \quad \chi_{AB}(t, t') \text{ real}$$

- For the step function force turned off at $t = 0$

$$\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = F_0 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \chi_{AB}(t - t') dt' = F_0 \int_{t}^{\infty} \chi_{AB}(\tau) d\tau$$

- Differentiating $\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle = \beta F_0 \langle \delta A(0) \delta B(t) \rangle_0$ then gives the classical Kubo expression

$$\chi_{AB}(t) = \begin{cases} 
-\beta \frac{d}{dt} \langle \delta A(0) \delta B(t) \rangle_0 & t \geq 0 \\
0 & t < 0 
\end{cases}$$
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\[
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- For a sinusoidal force \( F(t) = \frac{1}{2}(F_f e^{2\pi i ft} + c.c.) \) the integral gives the Fourier transform \( \tilde{\chi} \) of \( \chi \) so that the average rate of working is
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= \pi f |F_f|^2 (-\tilde{\chi}''(f))
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where \( \tilde{\chi}'' \) is Im \( \tilde{\chi} \) and terms varying as \( e^{\pm 4\pi i ft} \) average to zero.
Energy Absorption

- Rate of doing work on the system is “force \times velocity” \( W = F \dot{A} \)

\[
W = F(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t, t') F(t') dt'
\]

writing simply \( \chi \) for \( \chi_{AA} \).

- For a sinusoidal force \( F(t) = \frac{1}{2}(F_f e^{2\pi if t} + c.c.) \) the integral gives the Fourier transform \( \tilde{\chi} \) of \( \chi \) so that the average rate of working is

\[
W(f) = \frac{1}{4} 2\pi f |F_f|^2 [\tilde{\chi}(f) - \tilde{\chi}(-f)]
= \pi f |F_f|^2 (-\tilde{\chi}''(f))
\]

where \( \tilde{\chi}'' \) is Im \( \tilde{\chi} \) and terms varying as \( e^{\pm 4\pi i f t} \) average to zero.

- The imaginary part of \( \tilde{\chi} \) tells us about the energy absorption or dissipation.
**Fluctuation-Dissipation**

- Use the fluctuation expression for $\chi = \chi_{AA}$

\[
\tilde{\chi}''(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t) \sin(2\pi f t) dt \quad \text{(definition of Fourier transform)}
\]

\[
= -\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \delta A(0) \delta A(t) \rangle_0 \sin(2\pi f t) dt \quad \text{(fluctuation expression)}
\]

\[
= \beta (2\pi f) \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \delta A(0) \delta A(t) \rangle_0 \cos(2\pi f t) dt \quad \text{(integrate by parts)}
\]
**Fluctuation-Dissipation**

- Use the fluctuation expression for $\chi = \chi_{AA}$

$$\tilde{\chi}''(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t) \sin(2\pi ft) dt \quad \text{(definition of Fourier transform)}$$

$$= -\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \delta A(0)\delta A(t) \rangle_0 \sin(2\pi ft) dt \quad \text{(fluctuation expression)}$$

$$= \beta (2\pi f) \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle \delta A(0)\delta A(t) \rangle_0 \cos(2\pi ft) dt \quad \text{(integrate by parts)}$$

- The integral is the spectral density of $A$ fluctuations, so that (including necessary factors)

$$G_A(f) = 4k_B T \frac{(-\tilde{\chi}''(f))}{2\pi f}$$

This relates the spectral density of fluctuations to the susceptibility component giving energy absorption.
Langevin Force
Langevin Force

• Suppose the fluctuations in $A$ derive from a fluctuating (Langevin) force $F'$

$$\delta A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t, t') F'(t') dt'$$
Langevin Force

- Suppose the fluctuations in $A$ derive from a fluctuating (Langevin) force $F'$

$$\delta A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t, t') F'(t') dt'$$

- Since the Fourier transform of a convolution is just the product of the Fourier transforms the spectral density of $A$ is

$$G_A(f) = |\tilde{\chi}(f)|^2 G_F(f)$$
Langevin Force

- Suppose the fluctuations in $A$ derive from a fluctuating (Langevin) force $F'$
  \[ \delta A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t, t') F'(t') dt' \]

- Since the Fourier transform of a convolution is just the product of the Fourier transforms the spectral density of $A$ is
  \[ G_A(f) = |\tilde{\chi}(f)|^2 G_F(f) \]

- Using the expression $G_A(f) = 4k_B T (-\tilde{\chi}''(f))/2\pi f$ leads to
  \[ G_F(f) = 4k_B T \frac{1}{2\pi f} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\chi}(f)} \right] \]
Langevin Force

- Suppose the fluctuations in $A$ derive from a fluctuating (Langevin) force $F'$
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\delta A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t, t') F'(t') dt'
$$

- Since the Fourier transform of a convolution is just the product of the Fourier transforms the spectral density of $A$ is

$$
G_A(f) = |\tilde{\chi}(f)|^2 G_F(f)
$$

- Using the expression $G_A(f) = 4k_B T(-\tilde{\chi}''(f))/2\pi f$ leads to

$$
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Langevin Force

- Suppose the fluctuations in $A$ derive from a fluctuating (Langevin) force $F'$

$$\delta A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(t, t') F'(t') dt'$$

- Since the Fourier transform of a convolution is just the product of the Fourier transforms the spectral density of $A$ is

$$G_A(f) = |\tilde{\chi}(f)|^2 G_F(f)$$

- Using the expression $G_A(f) = 4k_B T (-\tilde{\chi}''(f))/2\pi f$ leads to

$$G_F(f) = 4k_B T \frac{1}{2\pi f} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\tilde{\chi}(f)} \right]$$

- Instead of the susceptibility introduce the *impedance* $Z = F/\dot{A}$ so that

$$\tilde{Z}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi if} \frac{1}{\tilde{\chi}(f)}$$

- Defining the “resistance” $\tilde{R}(f) = \text{Re} \tilde{Z}(f)$ gives

$$G_F(f) = 4k_B T \tilde{R}(f)$$
Quantum Result

- The derivations have been classical
- In a quantum treatment $A$ and $B$, as well as $H$ are operators that may not commute
- The change to the fluctuation-dissipation is to make the replacement $k_B T \rightarrow \frac{hf}{2} \coth\left(\frac{hf}{2k_B T}\right)$ so that
  
  $$G_F(f) = 2hf \coth(hf/2k_B T) \tilde{R}(f)$$

- Quantum approach was pioneered by Kubo, and the set of ideas is often called the Kubo formalism.
BioNEMS - Single BioMolecule Detector/Probe
BioNEMS Prototype

(Arlett et. al, Nobel Symposium 131, August 2005)
Example Design Parameters

**Dimensions:** $L = 3\mu$, $w = 100\text{nm}$, $t = 30\text{nm}$, $L_1 = 0.6\mu$, $b = 33\text{nm}$

**Material:** $\rho = 2230\text{Kg/m}^3$, $E = 1.25 \times 10^{11}\text{N/m}^2$

**Results:** Spring constant $K = 8.7\text{mN/m}$; vacuum frequency $\nu_0 \sim 6\text{MHz}$
Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

Commercial AFM cantilever (Olympus)  DNA molecule in water
Noise in Micro-Cantilevers

Thermal fluctuations (Brownian motion) important for:

• BioNEMS
  ◊ limit to sensitivity
  ◊ detection scheme

• AFM
  ◊ calibration

Goals (with Mark Paul):

• Correct formulation of fluctuations for analytic calculations
• Practical scheme for numerical calculations of realistic geometries
Approach Using Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem

Assume observable is tip displacement $X(t)$

- Apply small step force of strength $F_0$ to tip
- Calculate or simulate deterministic decay of $\Delta X(t)$ for $t > 0$. Then

$$C_{XX}(t) = \langle \delta X(t) \delta X(0) \rangle_e = k_B T \frac{\Delta X(t)}{F_0}$$

- Fourier transform of $C_{XX}(t)$ gives power spectrum of $X$ fluctuations $G_X(\omega)$
\[ \langle \delta X(t) \delta X(0) \rangle_e = k_B T \frac{\Delta \langle X(t) \rangle}{F_0} \]
Advantages of Method

- Correct!

- Essentially no approximations in formulation

- Incorporates
  - full elastic-fluid coupling
  - non-white, spatially dependent noise
  - no assumption on independence of mode fluctuations
  - complex geometries

- Single numerical calculation over decay time gives complete power spectrum

- Can be modified for other measurement protocols by appropriate choice of conjugate force
  - AFM: deflection of light (angle near tip)
  - BioNEMS: curvature near pivot (piezoresistivity)
Single Cantilever

Dimensions: \( L = 3 \mu \), \( W = 100\text{nm} \), \( L_1 = 0.6 \mu \), \( b = 33\text{nm} \)

Material: \( \rho = 2230 \text{kg/m}^3 \), \( E = 1.25 \times 10^{11} \text{N/m}^2 \)
Device Schematic
Adjacent Cantilevers

Correlation of Brownian fluctuations

\[ \langle \delta X_2(t) \delta X_1(0) \rangle_e = k_B T \frac{\Delta X_2(t)}{F_1} \]
Device Schematic
Results: Single Cantilever

3d Elastic-fluid code from CFD Research Corporation

\[ K \sqrt{G_x(v)} \times 1 MHz \sim 7 \mu N \]
Results: Adjacent Cantilevers

Autocorrelation of the noise for Cantilever 1

Crosscorrelation of the noise for Cantilever 2
AFM Experiments

$232.4 \mu \times 20.11 \mu \times 0.573 \mu$ Asylum Research AFM (Clarke et al., 2005)

Dashed line: calculations from fluctuation-dissipation approach

Dotted line: calculations from Sader (1998) approach
Next Lecture

Hydrodynamics

• Hydrodynamics of conserved quantities

• Hydrodynamics of ordered systems (including dissipation)